Effects of early rehabilitation therapy on patients with mechanical ventilation
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BACKGROUND: For patients in intensive care unit (ICU), mechanical ventilation is an effective treatment to survive from acute illness and improve survival rates. However, long periods of bed rest and restricted physical activity can result in side effects. This study aimed to investigate the feasibility of early rehabilitation therapy in patients with mechanical ventilation.

METHODS: A randomized controlled trial was carried out. Sixty patients, with tracheal intubation or tracheostomy more than 48 hours and less than 72 hours, were admitted to the ICU of the Affiliated Hospital of Medical College, Qingdao University, from May 2010 to May 2012. These patients were randomly divided into a rehabilitation group and a control group. In the rehabilitation group, rehabilitation therapy was performed twice daily, and the training time and intensity were adjusted according to the condition of the patients. Early rehabilitation therapy included heading up actively, transferring from the supine position to sitting position, sitting at the edge of the bed, sitting in chair, transferring from sitting to standing, and ambulating bedside. The patient's body mass index, days to first out of bed, duration of mechanical ventilation, length of ICU stay, APACHE II score, highest $\text{FiO}_2$, lowest $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ and hospital mortality of patients were all compared between the rehabilitation group and the control group. The differences between the two groups were compared using Student's t test.

RESULTS: There was no significant difference in body mass index, APACHE II score, highest $\text{FiO}_2$, lowest $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ and hospital mortality between the rehabilitation group and the control group ($P > 0.05$). Patients in the rehabilitation group had shorter days to first out of bed (3.8±1.2 d vs. 7.3±2.8 d; $P = 0.00$), duration of mechanical ventilation (5.6±2.1 d vs. 12.7±4.1 d; $P = 0.005$) and length of ICU stay (12.7±4.1 d vs. 15.2±4.5 d; $P = 0.01$) compared with the control group.

CONCLUSION: Early rehabilitation therapy was feasible and effective in improving the outcomes of patients with mechanical ventilation.
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INTRODUCTION
For patients in intensive care unit (ICU), mechanical ventilation is an effective treatment to survive from acute illness and improve survival rates. Most patients with mechanical ventilation have to receive sedative and analgesic drugs to reduce distress and oxygen consumption.\(^1\)–\(^4\) However, long periods of bed rest and restricted physical activity can result in ICU-acquired neuromuscular weakness, atelectasis, pressure ulcers, aspiration and pneumonia, muscle weakness and atrophy, bone mineral loss, orthostatic hypotension, tachycardia, and decreased cardiac output, which lead to significant impairment of physical function.\(^5\)–\(^8\) Recent studies\(^9\)–\(^11\) reported that early rehabilitation therapy is safe and feasible. But only few studies from China were reported, except a report about the effects of early rehabilitation therapy in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.\(^12\) In this study, we investigated the feasibility
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and effects of early rehabilitation therapy in mechanically ventilated patients of ICU, and compared with those of routine treatment.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

A randomized controlled trial was carried out. Sixty mechanically ventilated patients, with tracheal intubation or tracheostomy more than 48 hours and less than 72 hours, were admitted to the ICU of the Affiliated Hospital of Medical College, Qingdao University from May 2010 to May 2012. The institutional review board approved the study, and written informed consents were obtained from participants or their authorized representatives.

Patients in the ICU met the following criteria: 1) patient's age ≥18 years, duration of mechanically ventilation more than 48 hours but less than 72 hours, and duration of expected mechanically ventilation ≥1 week; 2) clear consciousness, cardiovascular stability (e.g., no postural hypotension, active cardiac ischemia, hypertension, or increasing infusion of vasopressor medication); 3) respiratory stability [e.g., stable oxygen saturation, fraction of inspired oxygen ≤55%, and positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) ≤8 cmH\(_2\)O]; 4) absence of an unstable fracture, for example, fracture of the cervical spine.

Patients were excluded for the following reasons: 1) inability to do activities independently (e.g., heading up actively, sitting, standing or ambulating), or require long-term mechanical ventilation before admission; 2) rapid development of neuromuscular disease, and irreversible disorders, with an estimated 6-month mortality of more than 50%; 3) increased intracranial pressure, absent limbs, preadmission glucocorticoids were applied for at least 20 days (prednisone >20 mg/d); 4) ICU admission after cardiopulmonary resuscitation; 5) tumor radiotherapy and chemotherapy within 6 months; 6) acute myocardial infarction or unstable ischemia within 3 weeks.

**Procedures**

Sixty patients met the inclusion criteria and were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to the rehabilitation and control groups, 30 cases in each group. The primary diseases of patients at admission are shown in Table 1.

In the rehabilitation group, rehabilitation therapy was used twice daily, and the training time and intensity were adjusted according to the condition of the patients. Early rehabilitation therapy included heading up actively, transferring from the supine position to sitting position at the edge of the bed or sitting in chair, and from sitting to standing, and walking bedside.

Therapy was delivered at least by one physician and one nurse. At the time of the above-mentioned activities, the patients' position was changed every 2 hours, passively or actively. Progression of activities was dependent on patients' tolerance and stability. Therapy intervention continued on a daily basis throughout the patient's hospital stay until he or she returned to a previous level of function or was discharged. Initially with the assistance of a nurse or physician, the patients completed therapy independently gradually. Enteral nutrition was paused. The sedatives were used only at night if patients needed. If the patients needed sedation during the day, the medicine stopped 1–2 hours before rehabilitation training; when the patients were able to follow the instructions, rehabilitation continued. The patient's oxygen saturation and ECG were monitored during rehabilitation training in addition to blood pressure if necessary.

**Rehabilitation termination criteria**

The following criteria indicated unstable conditions that prevented the initiation or continuation of rehabilitation therapy: 1) mean arterial blood pressure <65 or >110 mmHg; heart rate <40 beats/min or >130 beats/min; respiratory rate <5 breaths/min or >40 breaths /min; and pulse oximetry <88%; 2) pale or sweaty and/or specifically request to stop because of acutely unwell. Serious adverse events included fall to knees, endotracheal tube removal, systolic blood pressure >200 mmHg, systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg, and desaturation to <80%, indwelling catheter prolapsed (such as enteral feeding tube, urinary tube, chest tube, arterial or venous catheters).

**Statistical analysis**

The patients’ primary disease, body mass index, days to first out of bed, duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU length of stay, APACHE II score, highest FiO\(_2\),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Rehabilitation group (n, %)</th>
<th>Control group (n, %)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal infections</td>
<td>6 (0.2)</td>
<td>5 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS</td>
<td>9 (0.3)</td>
<td>10 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis (non-pulmonary and peritoneal source)</td>
<td>2 (0.07)</td>
<td>2 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe acute pancreatitis (without surgical treatment)</td>
<td>4 (0.13)</td>
<td>5 (0.17)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community pneumonia</td>
<td>1 (0.03)</td>
<td>2 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration pneumonia</td>
<td>6 (0.2)</td>
<td>5 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD exacerbation</td>
<td>2 (0.07)</td>
<td>1 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of basic information between the rehabilitation and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Rehabilitation group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55.3±16.1</td>
<td>55.5±16.2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>21.6±4.1</td>
<td>21.3±4.3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days to first out of bed</td>
<td>3.8±1.2</td>
<td>14.9±4.7</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of mechanical ventilation (days)</td>
<td>5.6±2.1</td>
<td>7.3±2.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICU stay</td>
<td>12.7±4.1</td>
<td>15.2±4.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II score (to ICU)</td>
<td>15.0±4.2</td>
<td>16.0±4.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II score (out of ICU)</td>
<td>10.0±3.1</td>
<td>10.0±3.2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest FiO₂</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest PaO₂/FiO₂</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital mortality</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The differences between the two groups were compared using Student's t test.

RESULTS

Comparison of primary diseases

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the enrolled patients. There was no significant difference in primary disease between the rehabilitation and control groups (P>0.05).

Comparison of basic information

Table 2 shows the basic information of the enrolled patients. There was no significant difference in body mass index, APACHE II score, highest FiO₂, lowest PaO₂/FiO₂ and hospital mortality between the rehabilitation and control groups (P>0.05).

The first day out of bed, duration of mechanical ventilation and length of ICU stay were significantly shortened or reduced in patients from the rehabilitation group compared with the control group.

Two patients from the rehabilitation group and 3 patients from the control group died from complications after transferring to ordinary wards. No serious adverse events occurred in the rehabilitation group, and only 1 patient developed orthostatic hypotension when standing bedside, and blood pressure was quickly improved after taking a supine position.

DISCUSSION

Proper mechanical ventilation can improve pulmonary breathing, and reduce cardiac load. But inevitably, mechanical ventilation can cause some side effects in hospitalized patients in ICU, especially in patients with neurological muscle weakness, known as ICU-acquired neuromuscular weakness. The etiology and pathogenesis of ICU-acquired neuromuscular weakness were associated with many factors. Mechanical ventilation is one of the independent risk factors for death in trauma patients. Patients with a long duration of mechanical ventilation are prone to infection, myopathy and polyneuropathy. In addition, high blood glucose and medications, such as neuromuscular blockers and corticosteroids, will induce the ICU-acquired neuromuscular weakness, and extend the duration of mechanical ventilation.

ICU-acquired neuromuscular weakness may last a long time, and affect patients’ life quality. Studies showed that physiological function of survivors with mechanical ventilation was reduced, even lasted several years. Rehabilitation treatment usually begins after ICU discharge, while rehabilitation treatment may affect the follow up of the patients.

Currently, few studies reported the effects of early rehabilitation therapy on mechanical ventilation patients. In our study, early rehabilitation training was performed in accordance with the actual conditions of our hospital. No serious adverse events occurred in 30 patients of the rehabilitation group, except 1 patient with orthostatic hypotension after standing up. Our study proved that early rehabilitation is safe in the treatment of patients with mechanical ventilation.

The first day out of bed, duration of mechanical ventilation and length of ICU stay were significantly shortened or reduced in the rehabilitation group compared with the control group. Thus early rehabilitation therapy may improve patients’ outcome as reported previously.

Between the rehabilitation treatment group and the control group, there was no significant difference in admission diagnosis, age, gender, body mass index, APACHE II score, FiO₂, minimum and maximum PaO₂/FiO₂. The use of continuous i.v. sedation was associated with the prolongation of mechanical ventilation in the ICU. Daily interruption of sedation in critically ill patients with mechanical ventilation can result in a decreased duration of mechanical ventilation and a decreased length of ICU stay. Deep sedation and immobility might potentiate ICU-acquired neuromuscular weakness. Use of lighter sedation may reduce the rate of ICU-acquired neuromuscular weakness. In this study, patients in the two groups were given daily interruption of sedation or no sedatives.
On rehabilitation training, one nurse and one doctor were required to help the patients. The necessary equipment of early rehabilitation was also commonly used, so this mode could be widely replicated. Because of limitations, we didn't perform intensive training on patients, such as walking with ventilators. In other reports, walking distance can be up to 100 steps, even 212 steps, and this training could help patients wean from mechanical ventilation earlier.[31]

The results of this study cannot be directly applicable to all patients with mechanical ventilation, because the limitation of the sample size of participants, and it is not double blinded. Also, we lacked information about the follow-up of these patients out of ICU. In summary, this study suggested that early rehabilitation therapy is feasible, safe and effective in improving the outcomes of patients with mechanical ventilation, and the long-term efficacy of early rehabilitation therapy needs further study.
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