Combined use of non-biological artificial liver treatments for patients with acute liver failure complicated by multiple organ dysfunction syndrome
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BACKGROUND: Acute liver failure (ALF) caused by viral and non-viral hepatitis is often accompanied with severe metabolic disorders, the accumulation of toxic substances and continuous release and accumulation of a large number of endogenous toxins and inflammatory mediators. The present study aimed to investigate the effects of various combined non-biological artificial liver treatments for patients with acute liver failure (ALF) complicated by multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS).

METHODS: Thirty-one patients with mid- or late-stage liver failure complicated by MODS (score 4) were randomly divided into three treatment groups: plasmapheresis (PE) combined with hemoperfusion (HP) and continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF), PE+CVVHDF, and HP+CVVHDF, respectively. Heart rate (HR) before and after treatment, mean arterial pressure (MAP), respiratory index (PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$), hepatic function, platelet count, and blood coagulation were determined.

RESULTS: Significant improvement was observed in HR, MAP, PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$, total bilirubin (TBIL) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels after treatment ($P<0.05$). TBIL and ALT decreased more significantly after treatment in the PE+CVVHDF and PE+HP+CVVHDF groups ($P<0.01$). Prothrombin time (PT) and albumin were significantly improved only in the PE+CVVHDF and PE+HP+CVVHDF groups ($P<0.05$). TBIL decreased more significantly in the PE+HP+CVVHDF group than in the HP+CVVHDF and PE+CVVHDF groups ($P<0.05$). The survival rate of the patients was 58.1% (18/31), viral survival rate 36.4% (4/11), and non-viral survival rate 70% (14/20).

CONCLUSION: Liver function was relatively improved after treatment, but PE+HP+CVVHDF was more efficient for the removal of toxic metabolites, especially bilirubin. The survival rate was significantly higher in the patients with non-viral liver failure than in those with viral liver failure.
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INTRODUCTION
Acute liver failure (ALF) caused by viral and non-viral hepatitis is often accompanied with severe metabolic disorders, the accumulation of toxic substances and continuous release and accumulation of a large number of endogenous toxins and inflammatory mediators. In turn, this promotes liver damage and inhibits the regeneration of liver cells, creating a vicious cycle. Despite internal conventional therapies such as protecting liver function, improving jaundice, and a variety of medical support treatments, the clinical mortality of patients with severe ALF is still as high as 70%. Artificial liver support system (ALSS) replaces liver function temporarily and partially, while removing toxic metabolites from the plasma and improving liver function.
all harmful substances and supplying biological active substances to create a good environment for regeneration and functional recovery of hepatic cells of the patient. Due to the limited effect of single ALSS, various combinations of non-biological artificial liver methods have become the hot topic. The present study aimed to observe the efficacy and safety of three combined ALSS regimens for the treatment of severe ALF: plasmapheresis (PE) combined with hemoperfusion (HP) and continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF), PE+CVVHDF and HP+CVVHDF.

**METHODS**

**General information**

Thirty-one patients with severe ALF were admitted to intensive care unit of Xuzhou Central Hospital from January 2007 to March 2013. The patients met the following criteria: liver score IV (total bilirubin>240 µmol/L) according to multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) score; and severe ALF complicated with MODS. In this series, 22 patients were male, and 9 were female, with a mean age of 38.1±14.8 years (range 20–82 years). Their chronic health evaluation II score (APACHE II) was 28.7±7.8, and sequential organ failure assessment score (SOFA score) was 13.67±3.31. Primary diseases in these patients included acute viral hepatitis (1 patient), ALF and chronic toxicity (10 patients), non-viral liver injury (cardiac surgery in 1 patient, poisoning in 6, pregnancy in 5, poisonous mushroom in 1, severe infection in 5, and others in 2). Liver failure staging\(^6\) showed that all patients were in the stage of advanced liver failure with prothrombin activity (PTA) ≤30%, including 23 patients with renal dysfunction, 15 patients with hepatic encephalopathy, 21 patients with gastrointestinal bleeding, 31 patients with blood clotting abnormalities, and 15 patients with circulatory dysfunction.

The trial protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xuezhou Central Hospital. All patients or their legal surrogates provided written informed consent for participation.

**Treatment**

In addition to conventional medicine and supportive treatment, the 31 patients were randomly treated with the following three regimens: PE+HP+CVVHDF, PE+CVVHDF or HP+CVVHDF.

In the PE+HP +CVVHDF group, after establishing temporary access of the femoral vein or jugular vein catheterization, patients received plasmapheresis at bedside using a Swiss Campbell PF2000N plasma separator (permutation of fresh plasma 1 500–2 500 mL, plasma exchange flow rate 80–120 mL/min, plasma separation speed 25–30 mL/min, and replacement time 2–3 hours). After a single plasma exchange was completed, patients received HP, using neutral macroporous resin (a HA330-II type hemoperfusion device produced by Zhuhai Franc). The hemoperfusion device was removed while its perfusion adsorption capacity for saturation and blood perfusion lasted 2–3 hours. Then, the patients were subjected to CVVHDF for (32.4±24.4) hours (range 10–49 hours).

In the PE+CVVHDF group, patients underwent CVVHDF therapy after plasmapheresis. In the HP +CVVHDF group, patients underwent CVVHDF after HP.

In the study, the 31 patients received a total of 81 treatments, including 23 treatments with PE+HP+CVVHDF, 26 treatments with PE+CVVHDF, and 32 treatments with HP+CVVHDF.

**Indicators**

The following indicators were observed: consciousness, heart rate (HR), mean arterial pressure (MAP), arterial blood gas (pH, PaO\(_2\), PaCO\(_2\)), hepatic and renal function, blood count, electrolytes, and coagulation of each patient before and after treatment in the three groups.

**Statistical analysis**

Data of the three groups were expressed as the mean±SD. All analyses were performed using the SPSS 12.0 statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Two-way ANOVA was used to compare the data between the three groups. All tests were two-tailed, and \(P\) values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**General data**

There were no significant differences in age, SOFA score and treatment time between the three groups (\(P>0.05\)) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>SOFA score</th>
<th>Treatment time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HP+CVVHDF</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.1±16.71</td>
<td>13.44±3.09</td>
<td>17.7±5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE+CVVHDF</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37.7±14.59</td>
<td>13.39±3.26</td>
<td>19.2±6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE+HP+CVVHDF</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35.9±18.55</td>
<td>14.14±3.68</td>
<td>18.9±4.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Patients’ age, SOFA score, and treatment time (mean ±SD)
HR, MAP, PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ and platelets before and after treatment

There were no significant differences in platelet changes before and after treatment in the three groups ($P>0.05$). HR, MAP and PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ increased more significantly after treatment than before treatment in the three groups ($P<0.05$). HR and PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ showed a significant change between the PE+HP+CVVHDF and PE+CVVHDF groups ($P<0.05$) (Table 2).

Coagulation and liver function before and after treatment

Total bilirubin (TBIL) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels decreased more significantly after treatment than before treatment ($P<0.05$) in the PE+HP+CVVHDF group and PE+CVVHDF group ($P<0.01$). However, TBIL decreased more significantly in the PE+HP+CVVHDF group than in the HP+CVVHDF and PE+CVVHDF groups. The changes of prothrombin time (PT) and albumin (ALB) level were statistically significant between the PE+HP+CVVHDF and PE+CVVHDF groups ($P<0.05$) (Table 3).

Clinical outcomes

Of the 31 patients, 18 (58.1%) survived after treatment with the ALSS. In these patients, 4 were from the 11 patients with virus-caused liver failure, and 14 were from the 20 patients with non-virus-caused liver failure.

**DISCUSSION**

ALF is characterized by rapid onset, progression, and poor prognosis. Actually, it is a kind of MODS. The treatment effect of simple conventional supportive care is not satisfactory, and blood purification technology can replace liver metabolic functions, thus supporting multiple organ function effectively. The combined use of ALSS treatments plays a crucial role in decreasing the level of serum bilirubin, removing or reducing toxic substances, and improving the internal environment of ALF in liver failure patients. It has been reported that the survival rate of patients was 80%–90% in the early course of ALF. Their interim survival rate was 60%–70%, while the survival rate of patients with advanced ALF was less than 20%. Ye et al reported that the survival rate was 48.3% for chronic severe hepatitis B patients treated with hemofiltration and plasmapheresis. He et al reported that the survival rate was 45.5% for MODS patients with ALF in the ICU after treatment with pairing plasma separation adsorption and hemofiltration. The survival rate was 42.5% for MODS patients with ALF after treatment with plasma exchange and CVVHDF. In our study, the liver function score was ≥IV according to MODS score, and PTA was less than 30%. All patients were in the stage of advanced liver failure according to liver failure treatment guidelines. We used three combined non-biological artificial liver therapies, and 18 (58.1%) of the 31 patients survived. A significant improvement was observed in the
survival rate compared to another study.\cite{footnote}

In our study, the survival rate of patients with non-virus-caused liver failure was 70%, but the survival rate of patients with virus-caused liver failure was 36.4%. The finding suggested that the combined use of non-biological artificial liver technology plays a significant role in improving the clinical outcomes. Different combinations of non-bioartificial therapies were effective in improving severe metabolic disorders and removing accumulated toxic substances and inflammatory mediators caused by serious damage of liver cells. Thus, combined non-bioartificial therapies play a significant role in improving clinical outcomes. For patients with non-virus-caused severe ALF, combined ALSS plays a significant role in reducing the mortality.

Three combined therapies with the non-biological artificial liver revealed that there are significant differences in heart rate, mean arterial pressure, respiratory index after treatment compared with before treatment ($P<0.05$). TBIL and ALT in the PE+HP+CVVHDF group and PE+CVVHDF group decreased more significantly ($P<0.01$). PT and ALB changed significantly before and after treatment in the PE+HP+CVVHDF group and PE+CVVHDF group ($P<0.05$). The decrease of TBIL was more significant in the PE+HP+CVVHDF group than in the HP+CVVHDF and PE+CVVHDF groups. The results suggested that the combination of PE+HP+CVVHDF is more conducive to remove metabolites and scavenge poisons in addition to maintain homeostasis. PE can widely remove endogenous toxins (such as endotoxin, bilirubin, and bile acids) and macromolecules binding with plasma protein and circulating immune complexes.

PE is not adequately effective because the small molecular weight toxins can easily pass through the blood vessel wall, and is widely distributed in tissues. HP can absorb aromatic amino acid, phenol, indole, short-chain fatty acids and others. Hemoperfusion using HA resin perfusion, a neutral macroporous resin adsorption 500–5000 Da major molecular weight substance, can absorb a variety of proteins binding toxins and cytotoxic substances, which inhibit regeneration of liver.\cite{footnote} CVVH can continuously eliminate the molecular substances, ammonia and other toxic substances such as false neurotransmitters, free fatty acids, amino acids, aromatic thiol in patients with acute liver failure, increase the content of CAMP in cerebrospinal fluid, improve energy metabolism in the brain, alleviate and ameliorate hepatic encephalopathy. CVVH can accurately control capacity, continuously and slowly remove the solute and liquid, regulate water, electrolyte and acid-base balance, and reduce the occurrence of brain edema in patients with acute liver failure.

In conclusion, liver function was improved after three artificial liver treatments in the present study. PE+HP+CVVHDF was more effective to remove the metabolites and toxins, especially bilirubin. After treatment, the survival rate of patients with non-virus-caused liver failure was significantly higher than that of those with virus-caused liver failure.
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